

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/784,694	PAYA ET AL.
	Examiner Dangelino N. Gortayo	Art Unit 2168

**All Participants:**

(1) Dangelino N. Gortayo.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Arthur Tan-Chi Yuan (Reg # L0113).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 August 2007

**Time:** 2:00 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*current rejection of record*

**Claims discussed:**

*Claims 30 and 32*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*prior art of record*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims as directly resulted in the Examiner's Amendments. The term "client" in claim 30 was discussed as it relates to the term "user". The dependency of claim 32 was also discussed.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 8/7/07  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)